

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/649,436	08/25/2000	Thomas D. Holt	004117.P006X	6870
75	90 11/19/2003		EXAM	INER
ERIC L. MASCHOFF PHAM, K				HANH B
WORKMAN, N	YDEGGER & SEELEY			
1000 EAST SO	UTH TEMPLE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
60 EAST SOUT	TH TEMPLE		2177	
SALT LAKE C	ITY, UT 84111			

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/649,436	HOLT ET AL.	
, . 	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khanh B. Pham	2177	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application at a second application and application applications are second applications.	ation. A proper reply the places the application	to a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S	n. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set of the control of	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	ount of the fee. The approportion originally set in the final O	priate extension ffice action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 			
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed ar	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were ı	newly
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	—: A —	
0. Other:		JOHN BREEN SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT	EXAMINER



Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Independent claims 1, 6, 10, 19, 22, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Lumsden (US 6,006,217 A), hereinafter referred to as "Lumsden".

As per claim 1, Lumsden teaches a method for real time distillation of a source document, comprising:

"receiving search criteria from a client; searching at least one source based on the search criteria, determining search results responsive to said searching" at Col. 5 line 60 to Col. 6 line 15;

"distilling a selected one of the search results in substantially real time relative to the time of selection, wherein the distillation occurs in accordance with at least one data type criterion selected from a plurality of predefined data type criteria" at Col. 6 line 48 to Col. 7 line 22;

"creating a distilled version of the selected search result, wherein the distilled version contains predefined content from the selected search result in accordance with the selected data type criteria" at Col. 6 line 48 to Col 7 line 22.

As per claim 6, Lumsden teaches a method for displaying search results, comprising:

"receiving search criteria from a client; searching at least one source based on the search criteria; determining search results responsive to said searching, the search results comprising source documents" at Col. 5 lines 60 to Col. 6 line 15;

"selecting one of the source documents, the selected document having a first content" at Col. 6 lines 48-50;

"at substantially the time of selection, distilling the selected source documents into result object, wherein the result object includes a second content and the second content is derived from the first content in accordance with at least one predefined distillation criterion" at Col. 6 lines 48-67:

"and creating an index from the result object into the selected source document, wherein selection of the index provides a display of a corresponding portion of the first content" at Col. 7 lines 1-22.

As per claim 10, Lumsden teaches a method for displaying search results, comprising:

"receiving search criteria from a client; searching at least one source based on the search criteria; determining a plurality of search results responsive to said searching" Col. 5 lines 60 to Col. 6 line 15;

"distilling a selected one of the search results into a result object" at Col. 6 lines 48-67;

"creating a mid⊟menu that corresponds to the result object, the mid⊟menu comprising a plurality of menu options, each menu option including at least one result category" at Col. 6 line 67 to Col. 7 line 22;

"and a content metric, the content metric being a measure of a relative value of the result category" at Fig. 5;

"displaying the mid menu" at Col. 6 lines 67 to Col. 7 line 22.

Claims 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rubinstein et al. (US 5,913,215), hereinafter referred to as "Rubinstein".

As per claim 44, Rubinstein teaches the method for searching, comprising:

"receiving search criteria; searching at least one body of knowledge based on the search criteria; providing a plurality of search results that are responsive to the searching, wherein at least one of the search results is a document comprised of text content" at Col. 7 lines 40-50 and Fig. 2;

"selecting one of the text content document search results; and at substantially the time of selection, distilling the selected document, wherein the step of distilling comprises the following steps: extracting content from the selected document in accordance with a plurality of data type rules" at Col. 7 line 55 to Col. 8 line 15;

"deriving a plurality of key points from the text content of the selected document, wherein key points are at least partially identified by locating text portions within the document that contain predefined verb types" at Col. 8 line 25 to Col. 9 line 25;

"and generating a reduced content distilled document that contains at least a portion of the extracted content and at least one of the key points" at Col. 7 line 55 to Col. 8 line 15.